

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/619,142

Applicant(s)

KNOWLES, W. RAY

Examiner

Vickie Y. Kim

Art Unit

1614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vickie Y. Kim.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Pohl, Mark.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 August 2001.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the allowable subject matter is notified. there are two proposals suggested by examiner wherein cancellation of non-allowable claims or re-opening the case with withdrawing finality are proposed. Applicant decided to go with re-opening prosecution. Thus the finality of previous office action will be withdrawn and new office action will be issued including modification of rejection and notification of defective 131 declaration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/619,142

Applicant(s)

Knowles, R.

Examiner

V. Kim

Group Art Unit

1614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vickie Kim

(3) Mark Pohl

(2) Robert Hill

(4)

Date of Interview

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending

Identification of prior art discussed:

Bazzano (US 5,183,817) & Ra

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Both parties are agreed on possible allowable subject matter included in claim 6. After further search and reconsideration, in the case of that claim 6 is allowable applicant will be pursuing further prosecution on rest of the claims in continuation application. Defects of 131 declaration mentioned. Applicant's representative emphasizes the difference between PEG+ethanol and the penetration enhancer claimed. The inventive concept is acknowledged by examiners. However each party didn't agreed on ~~current state~~ the specific scope of claims where there is different point of views to interpret the claims. Further discussion will be following in future prosecution process.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.